# Pitfalls and Challenges of ML-Powered Applications

**Emmanuel Ameisen** 

# What is an ML platform?

## **ML Platforms**

"The ML Platforms track focuses on the practice of moving machine learning systems from **development** to **deployment**, and the nextgeneration **tooling** that makes this an **organic process."** 

# A (humble) user review of ML platforms



- Led dozens of projects using and building such platforms at Insight Data Science
- Been on multiple teams using these tools

## Plan

- 1. What are ML platforms (now)
- 2. Offline data management
- 3. Model performance validation
- 4. Model deployment
- 5. Monitoring and alerting
- 6. Error preemption

## **Platforms have a wide surface area**



#### **Platforms have a wide surface area**



# ML products aren't about the ML

- Most of the surface area of an ML application is not the model
  - Most of the problems emerge outside of the model
  - It is easier to fix the system than the model

# **Offline data management**



#### The dataset is a main part of the model

#### • Each model should be tied to a dataset

- Feature list and date range
- Feature versions
- Ideally with sufficient information to train an identical model



### The challenge of generating data

- Adding new features should be as quick as possible
  - Joining with other existing features
  - Generating new derived features
  - Capturing new events to derive feature from



#### **Feature stores and feature sharing**



### **Storing arbitrary features**

- Storing and sharing vectorized learned representations
- Enabling vector indexing (search applications)



## Data storage for ML

- Fixed dataset vs dataset as a feature
- To be reproducible, a model needs to be tied to the data it was trained on
- Feature stores can create model lift by encouraging feature sharing

## **Model performance validation**



## It is often not provable that your model will work

#### **Regular Software**

- ✓ No crash
- ✓ Tests passed
  - ✓ Unit tests
  - ✓ Integration tests
  - ✓ Regression tests

#### 80% Confident in quality of application

#### ML Software

- ✓ No crash
- ✓ Tests passed
  - ✓ Unit tests
  - ✓ Integration tests
  - ✓ Regression tests
  - ✓ Distribution tests
  - ✓ Model back tests
- ✓ Accuracy, Precision, Recall

#### 20% Confident in success of application

#### Prod data storage creates data leakage

- It is almost impossible to prevent time traveling when using prod data directly
- This data leakage leads to models being wrong in subtle ways
- Lambda architectures (Zipline, Semblance) address this with event streams



#### No system can protect a user from themselves

"I separated the data using a random split"



#### Moving organically along the accuracy/risk scale



# Eliminate Reduce the impact of data leakage

- Simple (ideally accurate) backtesting
- Shadow scoring
- Safe deployment
  - Gradual rollout
  - Easy rollbacks

# Model deployment



Illustration from "Building ML Powered Applications"

## **Model deployment is too hard for humans**

"You just serialized the train model and load it in a flask app"



## **Model deployment with versioning**

- Which date was the model trained on
- What version of the data?
- Did we filter out users from these regions?



## **Model deployment with (more) versioning**

- Which version of the app was this model trained on?
- Can we serve different models based on app version?



### The fight against model staleness

- Most models go stale
- Retraining, validating, and deploying a model is toilsome



# Helping humans deploy models

#### • Versioning of

- o Data
- Model
- Application
- Automatic redeployment
  - Determination of ideal interval
  - Automatic rollout and alerting

# Monitoring and alerting



Illustration from "Building ML Powered Applications"

### Shine a light on this data

- Bugs will happen
- Debugging models without seeing data is **very** hard
- True for training and inference



#### **Alerts and testing in production**

- Automated alerts can help catch simple issues
- Make it easy to tune thresholds to dial in false positives and negatives
  - Alert fatigue is real



# Error preemption



#### The wrong kind of robustness

- If the data is in the right shape, a model will make a prediction
- How can we know if the model is winging it?



### **Branching logic for input checks**

- Make it easy to check the inputs to a model and branch off
  - Presence checks
  - Statistical and range checks
  - Model confidence checks



Illustration from "Building ML Powered Applications"

#### **Anomaly detection to support models**

- If you can detect some type of anomalies, don't even run models on them
  - Caveat: you may want to eventually train your model so it is self sufficient



## **Adding a filtering model**

- Use a simpler model to filter inputs
- Used by Google Smart Reply to decide whether to propose an answer



Illustration from "Building ML Powered Applications"

# Models will make errors: build for it

- Heuristic fallback
- Input and model confidence checks
- Anomaly detection
- Filtering model

## **Poorly summarized takeaways**

- 1. What are ML platforms
  - Tools to help manage inevitable model failures
- 2. Offline data management
  - Creating and combining features should be easier than trying new models
- 3. Model performance validation
  - Helping prevent time-traveling is valuable, but only to a certain extent
- 4. Model deployment
  - Infrastructure could handle re-deploying models automatically and assisting with versioning
- 5. Monitoring and alerting
  - Enable inspection at different parts of the supply chain, and provide tunable alerts
- 6. Error preemption
  - Enable input and output checks to plan for said inevitable model failures



For more lessons learned and tips for building ML apps:

Find the first chapter at <u>mlpowered.com/book/</u>

Reach out to me @mlpowered

**O'REILLY**° Building Machine Learning Powered Applications Going from Idea to Product **Emmanuel Ameisen**